

ENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/083,626	02/26/2002	Karsten Isakovic	117040-55	1786
21324	7590 10/20/2004		EXAM	INER
HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP One GOJO Plaza			CASCHERA,	ANTONIO A
Suite 300	1424		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AKRON, OH 44313-1076			2676	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/083,626	ISAKOVIC ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Antonio A Caschera	2676			
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION O	ATION. FOR 1.136(a). In no event, however, cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimur pry period will apply and will expire SIX (b. by statute, cause the application to bec.	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. some ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-70</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-70</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a					
Applicant may not request that any objection					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority do					
2. Certified copies of the priority do		• •			
3. Copies of the certified copies of	· ·	_			
application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action f					
See the attached detailed Office action i	or a list of the certified copie	s not received.			
Attachment(c)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Inte	rview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	-948) Pap	per No(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	0.05.60,	ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 8			

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claim 1-10 and 39-46, are drawn to graphics master and client modules performing image data computations to send/receive data, classified in class 345, subclass 504.
 - II. Claims 11 and 12, are drawn to a master control means adapted to communicate messages between devices, classified in class 345, subclass 520.
 - III. Claims 13 and 14, are drawn to a client control means adapted to communicate messages between devices, classified in class 709, subclass 206.
 - IV. Claims 15 and 16, are drawn to a switching control unit producing first and second control signals in alternate sequence at a predetermined signal delivery frequency, classified in class 348, subclass 55.
 - V. Claims 17-34, and 47-68, are drawn to a scattering surface adapted for polarization of light into a spatial region, classified in class 359, subclass 449.
 - VI. Claims 35-38, 69 and 70, are drawn to a method of synchronously computing and outputting image data whereby waiting and receiving readiness signals is performed, classified in class 709, subclass 237.
- 2. Inventions I-VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for

Art Unit: 2676

patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention I recites, graphics master and client modules that perform certain data processing on image data, Invention IV recites, alternating or switching memory control signals at a predetermined delivery frequency, producing the control signals to the memories, and Invention V recites a scattering surface structure adapted for polarization of light into a spatial region having projectors associated thereto while Invention VI recites, a computer communication protocol method, waiting on certain messages received before processing additional data. The subcombination has separate utility. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, inventions I-VI have separate utility such as a multiplexor device in a memory architecture for switching address and data lines to a plurality of memories, a projection screen, polarizing light into a spatial region projected by a projection device and a communications protocol method invoking a type of "handshaking" set of rules. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. Additionally, Invention I is a combination of Inventions II and III. Invention I does not require the particulars of Inventions II and III, for example, Invention II is directed towards a master control means used in controlling communication with other devices in a master

Art Unit: 2676

processing device while Invention III is directed to a client control means used in controlling communication with other devices in client processing devices.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. If applicant elects Invention V, then this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. Figure 1
 - b. Figure 3

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 2676

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Antonio A Caschera whose telephone number is (703) 305-1391. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (703) 308-6829. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AC 10/15/04

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Marchen C. Bella